

on November 1, 2006. Thereafter, on January 24, 2007, Plaintiffs filed another Motion for Evidentiary Hearing to Review Whether or Not Oral Alleged Settlement is Enforceable (#137).

The Court has conducted a *de novo* review of the record in this case, has fully considered the objections of Plaintiffs, the pleadings and memoranda of the parties and other relevant matters of record pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule IB 3-2. After a review and determination in accordance with the requirements of 28 U.S.C. § 636 and applicable case law, and good cause appearing,

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation (#127) entered on October 13, 2006, is adopted and accepted with modification. Defendants' Motion to Enforce Settlement Agreement (#117) is GRANTED in accordance with the Report and Recommendation. Plaintiffs' Request for an Evidentiary Hearing (#130) and Plaintiffs' Motion for Evidentiary Hearing to Review Whether or Not Oral Alleged Settlement is Enforceable (#137) are DENIED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the recommendation for an investigation by the Nevada State Bar regarding Mr. King's conduct in this action is not adopted. The facts and controversy surrounding the request for an investigation occurred before the Magistrate Judge and not this Court, and, therefore any referral to the Nevada State Bar should be made by the Magistrate Judge.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: This 1<sup>st</sup> day of February, 2007.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE